UNOFFICIAL								
Native American Business Enterprice Center (NABEC) Competitive Panel Evaluation Form								
Preliminary Review Checklist								
NEC Pegion:	l							
NEC Region: Funding Period:	January 1	2008 - Dec	ember 31,	2008				
Geographic Service Area:	January 1,	2000 - Dec	centiber 51, 2	2000				
Applicant Name (SF424 signature):								
Organization Name (If different than applicant name):								
Address:								
City, State, Zip								
Telephone:								
Preliminary Cost Information Section	•	Pleas	e do not en	ter any dat	a in the gra	v shaded i	ralls	
Telliminary cost information occion	Year 1	Yr 1 - %	Year 2	Yr 2 - %	Year 3	Yr 3 - %	Total	Total %
Federal Share	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	
Non-Federal Cost Share:	\$0	#DIV/U!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/U!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Client Fees	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Cash	* -	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0! #DIV/0!	\$0 \$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
In-Kind Share		#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	
Total Non-Federal Cost Share (10% Min.)	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0		\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	
Total Project Cost	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0		\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	
·			•		·		·	
Disqualification/Rejection of Application								
If either of the following is answered in the negative,	the applicant	shall be di	isqualified:	ı	Please ck y		<b>T</b>	
					Yes	No		
Signed application included - OMB Standard Form	424, "Applic	ation for F	ederal Assis	tance"?			ļ	
2. Application received by deadline?								
3. Application is to operate an MBEC?								
(a) Responsive and warrants further evaluation								
Administrative Review							Points	
Note: Please enter all points deducted as a positive number	- it will be dedu	icted approp	riately				Deducted	
Application (paper submission only) in triplicate -	one original a	and two co	pies? (If no,	deduct 1 pc	oint)		0.0	
2. Table of contents provided? (If no, deduct 1/2 poin	it)						0.0	
3. Pages numbered consecutively? (Deduct 1/2 point	t for any or a	II missing p	oarts)				0.0	
4. Incomplete Program Narratives? (Deduct a total of							0.0	
5. Other Required Forms either missing or not signed							0.0	
Comment for deduction(s) For #5 abvoe, please	indicate mis	sing or un	i signea ao	cument.				
Total Deductions							0.0	
Summary of Panel Points Awarded			Actual	Percent			0.0	
diffillary of Faller Folitis Awarded		Max	Points	Points				
		Points	Awarded	Awarded				
Section I. Applicant Capability		40	0.0	0%	Please	do not ent	er any data ir	the
Section II. Resources		20	0.0	0%	1 10000	gray shad	•	
Section III. Techniques & Methodologies		20	0.0	0%		gray oriac	.00 00.00.	
Section IV. Proposed Budget/Costs 20 0.0 0%								
Sub-Total		100	0.0					
Section V. Non-Federal Cost Share Bonus		5	0.0					
Administrative Points Deducted 0.0								
Final Score before Oral Presentation		105	0.0		Oral Presenta	itions are opt	ional and held	only
Section VI. Oral Presentation		10	0.0		when reques	ted by MBD	A (see FFO p	g. 57)
Final Score after Oral Presentation		115	0.0					

Panelist Name:	Date - Panel Forms:
Signature:	Summary - Page: 1

## **PANELIST INSTRUCTIONS: -**

The competitive review panel will score each NABEC application based upon the evaluation criteria. Points will be given for each evaluation criteria category not to exceed the maximum number of points allowed for each category. Scoring is restricted to the information contained in the application. Previous knowledge concerning the applicant organization or staff may not be taken into consideration at this point.

Scoring sheets have been designed to capture the requirements of the Federal Funding Opportunity Announcement. The rating scales have been designed to give panelists the option of assigning points. Each criterion is provided. However in general, here is a sample range of how points should be allocated:

- a) Zero points if the criteria was not addressed
- b) 0.5 to 1 point if *minimally* addressed. This means the applicant has recognized the criteria but has not provided detailed information.
- c) 1 to 2 points for an *adequate response*. This means that the applicant has recognized the criteria and provided a response that contains some indication that he can satisfy the criteria.
- d) 1.5 to 2.5 points for an *extended response*. This means that the applicant has provided a detailed discussion of the criteria and given evidence that the criteria will be fully met.
- e) 2 to 5 points for an *outstanding response*. This means that the applicant fully understands the requirements as reflected in the discussion of how the criteria will be met. The applicant's response is substantive and examples are provided where appropriate.
- f) Issue points in 0.5 or whole number increments
- g) You will need to use the "View" Header function to enter the Applicant's Name and Location, and the "Footer" function to enter your name as a panel member and date of paneling.
- h) Start with entering the information highlighted on the 1st page of the Summary sheet.
- i) In the required Federal and Non Federal Share in the Preliminary Cost Information Section, do not enter any data in the grey shaded areas.
- j) Administrative Review Enter the appropriate assigned points if applicable in a positive number. The point will be deducted appropriately.
- k) Summary of Panel Points Awarded Do not enter any data in this section (grey shaded). Data will be automatically transferred to this section once you rate and score the various criterion sections.
- I) Please provide a comment on all questions that should correspond with your rating. In addition if you do not provide a score for a question, a comment is also required indicating "no information provided" or your reason for no score.
- m) Please do not attempt to alter this form, as doing this may void the calculation formulas.
- n) Comments are mandatory; please refer to the appropriate page number of application when commenting on a specific requirment.

End Summary "Preliminary Review Checklist"

Panelist Name:	Date - Panel Forms:
Signature:	Summary - Page: 2

UNG	OFFICIAL	
	ss Enterprise Center (NABEC) anel Evaluation Form	
·	oplicant Capability	
Maximum Points Allowable = 40	Total Points A Percentage Av	
Instructions		
For this criterion, the applicant must consider among other thing an assessment of the community's needs, prior experience in most of assessment is the applicant's client base and his ability to assess	atchmaking, brokering, coaching and mentori	
The following information shall be evaluated:		
A. Native American Community - Knowledge/Previous ex American Tribal entities and minority business sector		ity, Native
(Maximum 4 Points)		warded: -
Panel Definition: Experience and knowledge of the Native American common and strategies for enhancing its growth and expansion; particle Consideration will be given as to whether the applicant has its application.	rticular emphasis shall be on expanding SGI	firms and tribal entiries.
<ul> <li>i) To what extent does the applicant provide a narrative with emphasis of its knowledge &amp; previous experied business sector within the geographic service area</li> </ul>	nce in the minority community and minorit	
	ninimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level extended level; 2.0 pts. at outstanding level	Points
Panelist Comments:		
ii) To what extent does the applicant demonstrate the of for enhancing Native American community, Native Aminority business sectors growth in the defined generating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed	American tribal entities and	Points
Panelist Comments:		

Panelist Name: Initials: \_\_\_\_\_

Panelist Name:

Initials: Section I - Page: 4

Evaluate the applicant organization's professional working relationships within and outside

of the area with minorities and non-minority financial institutions. In addition, review any other public/private sector involvement that the applicant organization and/or its proposed staff may have in obtaining financings

NABEC Competition Review		olicant: cation:
that could assist them in operating the NABEC.		
<ul> <li>i. To what extent does the applicant demonstrate experience in matching Native         Americans and other MBE's with sources of capital with an emphasis on the             on the geographic service area? [1.0 point max]</li></ul>	Points ter	
Panelist Comments:		
<ul> <li>ii. To what extent does the applicant demonstrate experience in producing loan packages and/or bonds applicable to Native Americans and other MBEs? (3 points max.)         Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed         </li> <li>D.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level 2.0 pts. at extended level; 3.0 pts. at outstanding level</li> <li>Panelist Comments:</li> </ul>	Points	
<ul> <li>iii. To what extent does the applicant demonstrate experience in producing or assisting with equity/venture capital? (1 points max.)</li> <li>Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level Zero points if not addressed</li> </ul>	Points	
Panelist Comments:		
Panelist Name:		

Initials: \_\_\_\_

Section I - Page: 5

D. Procurement and Contracting (Maximum 5 points)	Points Awarded	•
Panel Definition  Discuss the applicant's experience in and knowledge of public and private sector contracting operatities and other minority businesses, as well as demonstrated expertise in assisting clients in The applicant should provide key and/or support staff background in support of proposal claims. demonstrate the ability to facilitate and/or structure procurements, bids, etc. The applicant should ability to work with large buying organizations and procurement/contracting officials.	nto supply chains. (5 po Staff experience shou	oints max.) Id
<ul> <li>i. To what extent does the applicant demonstrate experience in and knowledge         of public and private sector contracting and/or opportunities? (1 points max.)         Rating Scale - points, if addressed:</li></ul>	Points	-
Panelist Comments:		
ii. To what extent does the applicant have experience in facilitating contracts and procurements to Native American entities and other MBEs? (2 points max.)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed:  0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level; 2.0 pts at outstanding parelist Comments:		-
<ul> <li>iii. To what extent does the applicant demonstrate experience in assisting         Native American entities and other MBEs into supply chains? (1 point max.)         Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate leading points if not addressed     </li> </ul>	Points	-
Panelist Comments:		

Zero points if not addressed

**Panelist Comments:** 

NABEC Competition Review

Applicant:

Location:

NABEC Competition Review			licant: ation:
iii. To what extent does the applicant demons financial institutions capable to provide be Native American entities and other MBE click Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed  Panelist Comments:			
iv. To what extent does the applicant demons financial entities capable of providing equ Native American firms and other MBE clin Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed	uity and/or venture capital to	Points evel or better	_
F. Establishment of a Self-Sustainable Service Mo (Maximum 3 points)	odel	Points Awarded	
<b>Panel Definition</b> Assess the applicant's summary plan to establish community and other MBE clients beyond the M		s to the Native Americ	an
<ul> <li>To what extent does the applicant describe model for continuing to serve the MBE con MBDA funds? (2 point maximum)</li> </ul>		Points	-
Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed	0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate le 1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding		
Panelist Comments:			
Panelist Name:			

Initials: \_

Section I - Page: 8

	NABEC Competition Review	Applio Loca	
	<ul> <li>ii. To what extent is the applicant's concept for building a self-sustainable model feasible for execution in 3 years or sooner? (1 point maximum)         Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or bette Zero points if not addressed     </li> <li>Panelist Comments:</li> </ul>	Points r	-
G.	MBE Advocacy (Maximum 3 points)	Points	
	Panel Definition  Discuss the applicant's experience and expertise in advocating on behalf of Native American communities, I entities and minority businesses, both as to specific transactions in which a minority business seeks to engage market advocacy for the benefit of the minority community at large.		
	market opportunities for all minority businesses? (2 point maximum)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed  0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or bette 1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding level	Points r	-
	Panelist Comments:		
	specific transactions for Native Americans and minority businesses? (1 point maximum)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed  0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or bette	Points r	-
	Panelist Name:		

Initials: \_\_\_\_\_

Section I - Page: 9

Panelist Comments:			
H. Key Staff (Maximum 10 points)		Points	_
In particular, make an assessment that determ	ce and proposed role of staff who will operate the NABEC. ines whether the proposed key staff possess the expertise i successfully deliver services as outlined in the work requirer posed director.		
	opy of original transcript? (2 points max.) ation standards for all key staff members who will re is no requirement for the Operator or other key	Points	_
	0.5 pts can be added if resume(s) for other key staff are	orovided	
Panelist Comments:			
ii. To what extent does the proposed staff (n with SGI and/or rapid growth-potential clie	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Points	
Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed	0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or bet 1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding level	ter	
Panelist Comments:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Section I - Page: 11

Initials:

Panelist Name:

Initials:

NABEC Competition Review	Applicant: Location:

NABEC Competition Review	Applicant: Location:

NABEC Competition Review	Applicant: Location:

NABEC Competition Review	Applicant: Location:

NABEC Competition Review		Applicant: Location:

NABEC Competition Review	Applicant: Location:

NABEC Competition Review	Applicant: Location:

	UNOFFICIAL		
	rican Business Enterprise Center (NABE ompetitive Panel Evaluation Form	C)	
- Ci	Section II. Resources		
Maximum Points Allowable = 20		Total Points Awarded: Percentage Awarded:	0.0 0%
<b>Instructions</b> For this criterion, the applicant must show how it pl	ans to carry out the NABEC work requireme	ents as related to resources	S.
A. Resources (Maximum 8 points)		Points Awarded	•
Panel Definition: Assess those resources (not included as par including (but not limited to) existing prior an immediate success for the NABEC.	<u>.</u>		
i. Does the applicant provide a list of establis For example: banks, financial institutions, b business consultants, chambers of commer local, and private technical assistance, provi to assist minority companies? (2 points man Rating Scale - points, if addressed:	oonding companies, rce, trade associations, state, viders that are available	Points	-
Zero points if not addressed	0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adeq 1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outst		
Panelist Comments:			
<ul> <li>ii. Does the applicant demonstrate the ability analysis of procurement and financial data Rating Scale - points, if addressed:</li> <li>Zero points if not addressed</li> </ul>			
Panelist Comments:			

Initials: \_\_

Section II - Page: 21

iii. Does the applicant discuss what resource		Points	-
the work requirements (not included as parrangement)? (2 points max)	part of the cost-snaring		
Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed	0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adec 1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outs		
Panelist Comments:			
iv. Does the applicant discuss how it plans and maintain a network of resources? (2		Points	-
Rating Scale - points, if addressed:	0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adec		
Zero points if not addressed	1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outs	tanding level	
Panelist Comments:			
. Location		Points Awarded	-
		Points Awarded	
. Location (Maximum 2 points) Panel Definition:			
Location (Maximum 2 points)  Panel Definition: Assessment of the Applicant's strategic rat	tionale for the proposed physical location of the	ne MBEC. Applicant is enco	
Location (Maximum 2 points)  Panel Definition: Assessment of the Applicant's strategic rat	ncouraged to establish location in a building	ne MBEC. Applicant is enco	
Location     (Maximum 2 points)  Panel Definition:     Assessment of the Applicant's strategic ratio establish a location for the MBEC. It is expressions.	encouraged to establish location in a building rea.	ne MBEC. Applicant is enco	
Location (Maximum 2 points)  Panel Definition: Assessment of the Applicant's strategic rat to establish a location for the MBEC. It is e existing offices in the geographic service are  i. Does the applicant provide proof that MBI and apart from existing operator offices?	encouraged to establish location in a building rea.  EC will be located separate (2 points max.)	ne MBEC. Applicant is enco which is separate and apart	
Location (Maximum 2 points)  Panel Definition: Assessment of the Applicant's strategic rat to establish a location for the MBEC. It is e existing offices in the geographic service and i. Does the applicant provide proof that MBI and apart from existing operator offices? Rating Scale - points, if addressed:	encouraged to establish location in a building rea.  EC will be located separate	ne MBEC. Applicant is enco which is separate and apart	
Location (Maximum 2 points)  Panel Definition: Assessment of the Applicant's strategic rat to establish a location for the MBEC. It is e existing offices in the geographic service are  i. Does the applicant provide proof that MBI and apart from existing operator offices?	encouraged to establish location in a building rea.  EC will be located separate (2 points max.) 2 pts. if proposed	ne MBEC. Applicant is enco which is separate and apart	
Location (Maximum 2 points)  Panel Definition:  Assessment of the Applicant's strategic rat to establish a location for the MBEC. It is existing offices in the geographic service and i. Does the applicant provide proof that MBI and apart from existing operator offices?  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed	encouraged to establish location in a building rea.  EC will be located separate (2 points max.) 2 pts. if proposed	ne MBEC. Applicant is enco which is separate and apart	
Location (Maximum 2 points)  Panel Definition:  Assessment of the Applicant's strategic rat to establish a location for the MBEC. It is e existing offices in the geographic service and i. Does the applicant provide proof that MBI and apart from existing operator offices?  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed Zero points if proposed office allocated less	encouraged to establish location in a building rea.  EC will be located separate (2 points max.) 2 pts. if proposed	ne MBEC. Applicant is enco which is separate and apart	
Location (Maximum 2 points)  Panel Definition:  Assessment of the Applicant's strategic rat to establish a location for the MBEC. It is e existing offices in the geographic service and i. Does the applicant provide proof that MBI and apart from existing operator offices?  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed Zero points if proposed office allocated less	encouraged to establish location in a building rea.  EC will be located separate (2 points max.) 2 pts. if proposed	ne MBEC. Applicant is enco which is separate and apart	

NABEC Competition Review			plicant: ocation:
C. Partners (Maximum 5 points)		Points Awarded	
<b>Panel Definition:</b> Applicant must indicate how it intends to estable and how these partnes will support the NABEO	olish and maintain the network of Strategic Partn C to meet its performance objectives.	ners	
<ul> <li>i. To what extent does the applicant demonstrated and maintain the network of 5 (min) strategical (2 points max.)         Rating Scale - points, if addressed:     </li> <li>Zero points if not addressed</li> </ul>			-
Panelist Comments:			
ii. To what extent will the proposed 5 Strategic to meet its performance (goals, budget) objecting Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed			
Panelist Comments:			

D.	Equipment (Maximum 5 points)	Points Awarded	•
	Panel Definition  Assess how the applicant intends to accomplish the computer, hardware and software require Note, it is permissable for the applicant to propose computers that are older than 2 but less the In order to waive computer age limitation, applicant must provide documentation to support in meets computer requirements as outlined in the FFO. Please refer to program manager for as	an 4 years. ternal hardware	
	<ul> <li>i. To what extent has the applicant met the computer requirements     with respect to hardware and age of computers? (1 point max)     Rating Scale - points, if addressed:         <ul> <li>0.5 pt at minimal level;</li> <li>1.0 pts. at adequate leader points if not addressed</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Points	-
	Panelist Comments:		
	<ul> <li>ii. Does the applicant provide (a) network map, (b) agreement to adhere to MBDA security requirements? (3 points max.)         Rating Scale - points, if addressed:         Zero points if not addressed per item Please provide sum of two in box     </li> <li>ii. Does the applicant provide (a) network map, (b) agreement to adhere to adhere to max.)</li> <li>1.5 pts. for item (b) agreement to security requirements.</li> </ul>	Points	-
	Panelist Comments:		
	<ul> <li>iii. To what extent has the applicant proposal demonstrate adherence to meeting website, URL and Internet information requirements? (1 point max.)         Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pt at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate leading points if not addressed     </li> </ul>	Points	-
	Panelist Comments:		

NABEC Competition Review		Applicant: Location:
		Location:
	End Section II	

NABEC Competition Review	Applicant: Location:

s

UNOFFICIAL		
Native American Business Enterprise Center (N		
Competitive Panel Evaluation Form		
Section III. Techniques and Methodolo Maximum Points Allowable = 20	Jgies Total Points Awarded:	-
	Percentage Awarded:	0%
<b>Instructions</b> For this criterion, the applicant must show how it plans to carry out the NABEC work requit is important that the applicant adhere as much as possible to MBDA's programmatic re		he FFO.
A. Performance Measures (Maximum 10 Points)	Points Awarded:	
Panel Definition:		
Assess the proposal for each program year, the manner in which the applicant relationancial information and market resources available in the geographic service area applicant will create NABEC brand recognition (marketing plan); and how the applicant goals. In particular, emphasis may be placed on the manner in which the applicant client service hours and how it accounts for existing market conditions in its strategy.	(including existing client list); he cant will satisfy program perform matches NABEC performance	ow the nance
i. To what extent does the applicant propose to meet and or exceed the	Points	-
minimum performance levels? (2 points max.)  Rating Scale - pts if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at ac Zero points if not addressed 1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at or	-	
Panelist Comments:		
ii. To what extent does the applicant's proposal demonstrate its ability and capacity for understanding its existing market conditions and how it plans to use this knowledge in achieving its goals? (2 points max.)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at ac Zero points if not addressed 1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at output to the conditions of the conditions are conditionally and capacity for understanding its existing market conditions and how it plans to use this knowledge in achieving its goals? (2 points max.)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at accordance in the conditions and how it plans to use this knowledge in achieving its goals? (2 points max.)		_
Panelist Comments:		

B. Start-up Phase (Maximum 3 Points)

Panelist Name:
Initials: \_\_\_\_\_ Section III - Page: 28

Section III - Page: 29

Pane		-4:	: 4	ı:_	
Pane	,,,	eti.	nn	ın	n·

Panelist Name:

Initials: \_\_\_

Assess the proposal as to how the applicant will commence NABEC operations within the initial 30 day period. The NABEC shall have thirty (30) days to become fully operational after an award is made. (see FFO Appendix A, Work and Training Requirmentments). Fully operational means that all staff is hired, signs are up, furniture and equipment are in place and operational, all necessary forms are developmed (e.g., client engagement letters, other standard correspondence etc.) and the Center is ready to open its doors to the public.

etc.) and the Center is ready to open its do	ors to the public.	ira correspondence
i. Program Operators have 30 days to bee award is made, does the applicant give Fully operational means that all staff is furniture and equipment are in place an developed and the Center is ready to operate of the content of the content assured items? (2 points max.)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:	assurance that this will happen. hired, all signs are up, all items of nd operational, all stationery forms are pen its doors to the public.	-
Zero points if not addressed	1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding level	
Panelist Comments:		
as well as leverage its current roster of	establish a detailed organizational ement and operation of the NABEC the Center will recruit staff and clients	-
Panelist Comments:		
C. Work Requirements Execution Plan.	Points Award	ded: -
(Maximum 7 Points)		

Assess the proposal as it relates to how effectively and efficiently all staff time will be used to achieve the work require particularly with respect to periods beyond the start-up phase.	ements,
i) To what extent does the applicant include a description for how it intends to deliver services in the defined geographic service area and the methodology for accomplishing the Work Requirements? (2 points max.)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better Zero points if not addressed 1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding level	-
Panelist Comments:	
ii) To what extent does the applicant include a detailed work plan, which	-
delineates a schedule of proposed activities and milestones for implementing	
tasks under the award? (3 points max)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed  2.0 pts at extended level; 3.0 pts at outstanding level	
Panelist Comments:	
iii) To what extent does the applicant indicate how it will utilize staff to execute	_
the work plan? Was a staff allocation summary provided? (2 points max.)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better  Zero points if not addressed 1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding level  Panelist Comments:	
End Section III	

	UNOFFICIAL		
	rican Business Enterprise Center (NABE Impetitive Panel Evaluation Form	EC)	
	IV. Proposed Budget and Costs		
Maximum Points Allowable = 20		Total Points Awarded: Percentage Awarded:	- 0%
Instructions For this criterion, applicants must submit separate is Costs to the organization are expenses that it will in a budget narrative. The budget narrative must provide used and why. The proposed budget must be appropriate The budget narrative must provide sufficient inform to the program narrative.  The cost criterion is comprised of two parts:  (a) Analyses of the reasonableness, allowability at (b) Analysis of the applicant's proposed cost share.  1. Reasonableness, Allowability and Allocability (Maximum 5 points)  Panel Definition:  Information is located in the Form SF-424A set of the program narrative. All of the proposed parrative must match the proposed budget. Further than the proposed budget in the proposed budget line-item narrative.	oudgets and narratives for each of the three ocur in order for it to operate effectively. The ide information on how the money is going to the work requirements of the NABEC and the allocation to justify line item expenditures in the and allocability of costs.  To of Costs.  To of Costs.	e funding periods. his section must contain to his popularity proposal overall. SF-424A and the relationship  Points Awarded:  ction his discontinuous and the budget line item	
i. To what extent does the proposal provid for each line item (by object class categor in the proposed budget which justifies at cost? Did the applicant include detailed for the activities identified in the Announ Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed	ory) of the Federal and Non-Federal Cost nd sufficiently breaks down each propos costs for staff participation, travel, and	sed expenditures juate level	<del>.</del>
ii. Are the costs reasonable, allowable und to an MBEC Award? (1 point max.) Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed	der the cost principals, and allocable  0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adeq	Points [ juate level or better	-
Panelist Comments:			

Panelist Name:
Initials: \_\_\_\_\_ Section IV - Page: 31

NABEC Competition Review	Applica Locat	
iii. To what extent are direct costs allocated to key consulting staff? (1 point max.)  This is best evaluated as a percentage of total direct costs.  Calculate: sum of salary + fringe benefits divided by overall budget.  Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0 pts if less than 40%  Zero points if not addressed 0.5 pts if 40-59.9%  1.0 pt if 60% or better	Points	-
2. Proposed Cost Sharing - Non Federal Cost Share Points A		
(Maximum 5 points)	warded:	
(Maximum 5 points)  Panel Definition: Information is located in the Form SF-424A section and the "Proposed Budget/Costs" section		-
<ul> <li>(Maximum 5 points)</li> <li>Panel Definition: Information is located in the Form SF-424A section and the "Proposed Budget/Costs" section of the program narrative. </li> <li>i. Applicant must propose at least a 10% non-Federal cost share amount of the total project cost. To what extent does the applicant's proposal meet the 10% non-federal cost share? (3 points max.) Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 3.0 points if 20% or more is proposed Zero points if not addressed</li> </ul>	n	<u>-</u>
<ul> <li>(Maximum 5 points)</li> <li>Panel Definition: Information is located in the Form SF-424A section and the "Proposed Budget/Costs" section of the program narrative. </li> <li>i. Applicant must propose at least a 10% non-Federal cost share amount of the total project cost. To what extent does the applicant's proposal meet the 10% non-federal cost share? (3 points max.) Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed Zero points if 10% cost share not met. </li> </ul>	n	-
<ul> <li>(Maximum 5 points)</li> <li>Panel Definition: Information is located in the Form SF-424A section and the "Proposed Budget/Costs" section of the program narrative. </li> <li>i. Applicant must propose at least a 10% non-Federal cost share amount of the total project cost. To what extent does the applicant's proposal meet the 10% non-federal cost share? (3 points max.) Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed Zero points if 10% cost share not met. </li> </ul>	n	

Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed  Panelist Comments:	2 pts if all commitment letters included 1.5 pts if 66-99% of all commitment letters include 1 pt if 50-65% of all commitment letters included 0.5 pts if 33-49% of all commitment letters include 0 pts if less than 33% of commitment letters include	ed	
r unonet commente:			
3. Performance Based Budget	Ро	ints Awarded:	-
(Maximum 10 points) Assess to what extent does the line-item budget and	budget narratie relate to the accomplishment of the	NABEC work	
requirements and performance measures.  i) Does the applicant discuss how the <u>budg</u>	et is related to the accomplishment	Points	
of the work requirements and the Perform	ance measures? (5 points max)		
Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed	1.0 pts at minimal level; 3.0 pts. at adequate leve 4 pts at extended level; 5.0 pts. at outstanding lev		
Panelist Comments:	· pro at ottorious ioros, oto pro at outotariamig	•	
ii) Does the applicant provide a budget narr accomplishments of the work requirement measures? If so, to what extent? (5 point Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed	nts to its Performance		_
Panelist Comments:			

NABEC Competition Review

Panelist Name: Initials:

Applicant: Location:

Section IV - Page: 33

NABEC Competition Review		Applicant: Location:
		Location:
· ·	End Section IV	

·	nel Evaluation Form deral Cost Share Bonus	
Maximum Points Allowable = 5	Total Points Awarded:	-
Panel Definition:		
Proposals with cost sharing for year 1, 2 and 3 which exceeds 10 will be awarded bonus points on the following scale:  - more than 10% but less than 15% = 1 point  - 15% or more, but less than 20% = 2 points  - 20% or more, but less than 25% = 3 points  - 25% or more, but less than 30% = 4 points  - 30% or more = 5 points  Information is located in the Form SF-424A section and the "Prop		
1. Non-Federal Cost Share Bonus Points (Maximum of 5 Poir	nts) Points Awarded:	_
What percent over the required 10% non Federal Cost Share	did the applicant propose for all program years?	
Panelist Comments:		

	$\overline{}$	_		
		_		
 		_	 	

Native American Business Enterprise Center (NABEC)

Competitive Panel Evaluation Form

## **Section VI. Oral Presentation**

Oral Presentations are optional and only at the request of MBDA

Maximum Points Allowable = 10 Total Points Awarded: Percentage Awarded: 0%

## Instructions

Oral presentations are optional and held only when requested by MBDA. When the MBDA merit review by the panel results in applicantions scoring 70% or more of the available points for each criterion, MBDA may request those applicants to develop and provide an oral presentation. This presentation will be used to establish a final evaluation & rating. If an oral presentation is requested, the applicant will receive a formal comminication (via standard mail, e-mail or fax) from MBDA indicating the time and date for the presentation. In person presentations are not mandatory, but are encouraged; telephonic presentation are acceptable. Applicants will be asked to submit a PowerPoint presentations (or equivalent) to MBDA that addresses the oral presentation criteria set forth below. The presentation must be submitted at least 24 hours before the scheduled date and time of the presentation. The presentation will be made to the MBDA National Director (or his/her designee) and up to **Three senior MBDA staff who DID NOT SERVE ON THE MERIT EVALUATION PANEL.** The oral panel members may ask follow-up questions after the presentation. MBDA will provide the teleconference dial-in number and pass code. Each finalist will present to MBDA saff only; competitors are not permitted.

The applicant's oral presentation will be evaluated as to the extent to which the presentation demonstrates the following: **Points** a) To what extent does the applicant demonstrate how they will effectively and efficiently assist MBDA in the accomplishment of its mission? (2 points max.) Rating scale - poins, if address: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level Zero points if not addressed 1.5 pts. at extended level; 2:00 pts. at outstanding level **Panelist Comments:** b) How did the applicant demonstrate its business operating priorities designed to manage a **Points** successful NABEC? (2 points max.) Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level 1.5 pts. at extended level; 2.0 pts. at outstanding level Zero points if not addressed **Panelist Comments:** c) To what extent did the applicant describe its management philosphy that will achieve an effective **Points** balance between micromanagement and complete autonomy for its Project Director? (2 points max.) Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better 1.5 pts. at extended level; 2.0 pts. at outstanding level Zero points if not addressed **Panelist Comments:** 

d)	To what extent did the applicant outline its robust sear Director? (1 point max.) Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed  Panelist Comments:	rch criteria for the identification for its Project Director?  0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better	Points	
e)	To what extend did the applicant discuss and explain i retention policies and procedures? (1 point max.)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed  Panelist Comments:	ts effective employment recruitment and  0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better	Points	-
f)	To what extend did the applicant demonstrate a comper performance requirements? (2 points max.)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed  Panelist Comments:	etitive and innovative approach to exceeding  0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better 1.5 pts. at extended level; 2.0 pts. at outstanding level	Points	-

NABEC Competition Review

Panelist name: Section VI - Page: 37 Initials:

End Section VI - Oral Presentation